THE DEVELOPER'S DILEMMA

A Survey of

Structural Transformation and Inequality Dynamics

Armida Alisjahbana, Kunal Sen, Kyunghoon Kim, Andy Sumner and Arief Yusuf

INTRODUCTION

• Structural transformation (ST) as the engine of economic growth

- Kuznets, Lewis, Kaldor, Chenery, Hirschman, Myrdal, and Thirwall
- Special characteristics of the manufacturing sector

Developer's dilemma

- Structural transformation that drives economic development has a tendency to put upward pressure on income inequality levels if not addressed
- Recent trends in many developing countries
 - Service-centred ST and premature deindustrialisation
 - Increasing inequality and slow poverty reduction

KUZNETS REVISITED: BEYOND THE INVERTED-U

- The importance of linking structural transformation, within/between urbanrural inequality, political/societal changes, and policies
 - Upswing: "[E]ven if the differential in per capita income between the two sectors remains constant and the
 intra-sector distributions are identical for the two sectors, the mere shift in the proportions of
 numbers produces slight but significant changes in the distribution for the country as a whole" (1955).
 - Downswing: Labour & political organisation → Demand for wage increases & redistribution
- Additionally, other important factors may include:
 - Global factors: e.g. world interest rates, commodity prices, terms of trade (Galbraith, 2011)
 - **Domestic** factors: e.g. economic liberalisation, land inequality (Oyvat, 2016; Williamson, 2001)
 - Technological factors: e.g. mechanisation, automation (Roine and Waldenström, 2014)

KEY QUESTIONS

- After revisiting Kuznets' work, we derive the following questions:
 - What are the trends in structural transformation (ST)?
 - What are the **trends in inclusive growth**?
 - What **policies** have been put in place to shape ST, inequality and inclusive growth?
 - What is the **political economy** of ST, inequality and employment?
 - What is the **future trajectory** of the ST-inequality-inclusive growth relationship?

CLASSIFYING PATTERNS OF STRUCTURAL TRANSFORMATION (1995–2010)

	Agriculture	Manufacturing	Non-manufacturing industry	Services	Increasing	Primary		Upgrading		
S Asia	Earlier stage of de-	Primary	Primary	Earlier stage of	10%< over 15 years	Industrialisa	tion	n Industrialisation		
	agriculturalisation	industrialisation	industrialisation	tertiarisation						
E Asia	Later stage of de-	Upgrading	Primary	Later stage of						
	agriculturalisation	industrialisation	industrialisation	tertiarisation	Manufacturing		01-1	U1		
SS	Pre-de-	Primary (or non)	Do industrialisation	Earlier stage of	/NMI		stalled industrialisation			
Africa	agriculturalisation	industrialisation	De-muusuransauon	tertiarisation	share					
Latin	Later stage of de-	Do industrialization	Primary	Later stage of						
America	agriculturalisation	De-mousuransation	industrialisation	tertiarization						
Note:						Secular deindustrialis	Secular deindustrialisation		Advanced industrialisation	
Productivity increase		Labour productivity growth, 1995–2010 (10% <x)< td=""><td>Declining</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></x)<>			Declining					
Productivity standstill		Labour productivity growth, 1995–2010 (-10%≤x≤10%)								
Productivity decline		Labour productivity growth, 1995–2010 (x<-10%)			↓ ↓					

Increasing 10%+

Declining

EMPIRICAL PATTERNS OF STRUCTURAL TRANSFORMATION (1/2)

Agriculture Employment share (%) SSA 1980 - 1995 SA EA LA Value added share (%)

Manufacturing



Source: GGDC 10-sector database.

EMPIRICAL PATTERNS OF STRUCTURAL TRANSFORMATION (2/2)

Non-manufacturing industry





Services

Source: GGDC 10-sector database.

RELATIVE LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY



Source: GGDC 10-sector database.

LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH (1/2)

South Asia



Source: GGDC 10-sector database.

Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: GGDC 10-sector database.

LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH (2/2)

East Asia



Employment share (%) 1995 Services **b** 1980 Agriculture 2010 Manufacturing $10_{2010} -$ NMI

Latin America

Labour productivity (1980=100)

EMPIRICAL PATTERNS OF INCOME INEQUALITY





Source: WIID.

ST-IG RELATIONSHIP (1/2): SERVICES DRIVEN ST

South Asia





Note: Share of employment in Services (percentage) on the horizontal axis and Net Gini on the vertical axis. Source: GGDC and WIID.

ST-IG RELATIONSHIP (2/2): MANUFACTURING DRIVEN ST

South Asia





Note: Share of employment in Manufacturing (percentage) on the horizontal axis and Net Gini on the vertical axis. Source: GGDC and WIID.

CONCLUSION

	Growth-enhancing structural transformation				
	Weak	Strong			
declining	Latin America Sub-Saharan Africa	East Asia			
Stable or	Kuznetsian tension: Ambiguous	Kuznetsian tension: Low ('benign')			
nequality		South Asia			
Increasing		Kuznetsian tension: High			

• Heterogeneity in the regions' experiences

- The present consensus: No universal law
- Kuznetsian multidimensional framework for understanding ST & IG
 - Stronger emphasis needs to be given to:
 - Historical context: Initial conditions & subsequent waves
 - Endowments
 - Political & institutional factors
 - ST & IG policies
 - ► This is the approach we take in our OUP book