Poverty and Inequality – What's Next?

Discussion

Michael Grimm

University of Passau, IZA, RWI Research Network



Points to be addressed



Policies to reduce inequalities

Basic Universal Income

• The problem with piecemeal approaches

Measurement issues



Policies to reduce inequalities

- Reducing poverty while keeping inequality at moderate levels
 - Equal opportunities, i.e. compensate for neg. circumstances (education, health etc.)
 - Redistributive policies (social insurance)
 Have shown to be effective in many circumstances and contexts
 Challenges: adverse incentives, targeting, financing, political opposition
 - Pro-poor structural change:
 Enhance industrialisation to create jobs for the poor and the middle class

 Advantages: Less prone to political opposition, more sustainable, targeting less of a problem



Universal Basic Income

Pro

- More inclusive
- Less finely targeted
- Better incentive effects
- More feasible informationally
- More politically acceptable
- Financial inclusion
- Women empowerment

Contra

- Takes money away from the poor and gives it to everyone
- Incentive effects/ use of UBI?
- Too expensive, financing through progressive taxation really feasible/plausible?
- What if growth does not keep up with inflation?
- Prone to political abuse



The problem with piecemeal approaches

- Leverage on inequality of <u>redistributive policies</u> often weakened due to poor integration of these policies
 - Example Mexico: social protection of the poor vs. informalisation (see S. Levy)
 - More generally Latin America: Integration of subsidized social assistance and social insurance system financed largely out of taxes on formal sector employment, is neither efficient, nor equitable (see Ferreira & Robalino, 2010).



Measurement issues

- Demographic dynamics: Differential fertility is fueling inequality too.
- Missing focus on income mobility: "cross-sectional" measurement of poverty and inequality misses mobility.

Persistent inequality in a 'less mobile' society requires different policy responses than in a 'very mobile' society.

Inequality in wealth including land holdings.